

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                    | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.      | CONFIRMATION NO |
|------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/029,552                         | 12/21/2001  | Lawrence A. Leske    | 2333P                    | 4685            |
| 7590 12/06/2005                    |             |                      | EXAMINER                 |                 |
| SAWYER LAW GROUP LLP               |             |                      | PENDLETON, BRIAN T       |                 |
| P.O. Box 51418 Palo Alto, CA 94303 |             |                      | ART UNIT                 | PAPER NUMBER    |
| 14.01.1.0, 0.1 2.000               |             |                      | 2644                     |                 |
|                                    |             |                      | DATE MAIL ED: 12/06/2004 | •               |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |
|---|---|---|
|   | 10/029,552  | LESKE ET AL.  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |
|   | Brian T. Pendleton  | 2644  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address   |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED | L.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status  |   | · 🔅   |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 At 2a)     This action is FINAL. 2b)     This 3)     Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E   | action is non-final.<br>nce except for formal matters, pro  |   |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |   |
| 4)  | is/are withdrawn from considera   | ition.  |
| Application Papers  |   |   |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 21 December 2001 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objectod<br>drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See<br>ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj   | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).                           |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certifie | s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive it (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | on No d in this National Stage  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   | 4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da  |   |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | 6) Other:   |   |

Application/Control Number: 10/029,552

Art Unit: 2644

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group I in the reply filed on 8/29/05 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

## Claim Objections

Claims 2, 20, and 38 are objected to because of the following informalities: There is a lack of antecedent basis for the limitation "applying the drive signal". Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 2, 19, 20, 37, and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The feature of calculating the positional BL factor from the change in EMF versus change in current

Art Unit: 2644

is not enabled through a detailed explanation of the method in the specification. Page 12 of the specification mentions that the change in EMF is compared to the change in current in order to calculate the BL factor, however, the comparison step is not explained in any detail (such as a formula), to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to calculate the BL factor. In addition, the claim language cites the word "versus" in relation to the EMF and current values. Such claim language needs clarification.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 20, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Reiffin, US Patent 5,542,001 or Klippel, US Patent 5,815,585. Reiffin discloses an amplifying circuit comprising determining the current through the transducer using DIFF AMP, measuring the back EMF (output from DIFF AMP) and calculating the positional BL fact from the change in EMF (see figure 2). Klippel discloses an apparatus comprising sensing circuit 18 which measures back EMF and current through transducer 1, which is coupled to adaptive circuits 19-23 which then generate a velocity signal which is a positional BL factor.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 20, and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reiffin or Klippel in view of Schrader, US Patent 5,523,715. Reiffin or Klippel do not disclose applying a drive signal to a switch to drive a transducer to generate sound. However, it was well known in the art, as evidenced by Schrader in figure 1, to use switches to drive transducers with the benefit of greater efficiency. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Reiffin or Klippel per the teachings of Schrader.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Geddes, US Patent 6,269,318.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian T. Pendleton whose telephone number is (571) 272-7527. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (571) 272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/029,552

Art Unit: 2644

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian T. Pendleton Primary Examiner Art Unit 2644

302

btp